Life Without Parole (LWOP) in Oklahoma

- Fiscal impact
- Safety impact
- A conservative, data-driven path to judicial review

Presented by: Colleen McCarty, Esq.
Attorney and Executive Director
Oklahoma Appleseed Center for Law and Justice
colleen@okappleseed.org



What LWOP Is (and Isn't)

Life without parole = imprisonment for life with no parole eligibility; release only by commutation or court relief.

Originally pitched as an alternative to the death penalty; now widely used in non-capital cases across the U.S.

Today's question: Is permanent incarceration the smartest public-safety investment in cases where it is applicable?



Source: ODOC statute framework; national trends (The Sentencing Project).

Oklahoma House Criminal Justice & Corrections Committee — Oct 2025

Oklahoma Snapshot (Scale & Trend)

ODOC last published LWOP share at ≈3% of the prison population (FY2015).

Applying 3% to today's ~22,858 prison population ≈ ~686 Oklahomans* on LWOP (*illustrative, due to limited current reporting).

Nationally, LWOP reached 56,245 people in 2024—an all-time high.



Source: Robina Institute (2022) citing ODOC FY2015;
ODOC BOC packet population table (Apr 30, 2025);
The Sentencing Project 2025 life census.
Oklahoma House Criminal Justice & Corrections Committee — Oct 2025

What LWOP Costs Oklahoma (Baseline)

ODOC daily cost per person (May 22, 2024): \$67.53.

 \approx \$24,648 per person per year (custody-only benchmark).

≈ \$492,969 over 20 years per person—before geriatric health-care multipliers.

Source: ODOC Board of Corrections Packet (May 22, 2024): daily cost \$67.53; community sentence \$4.44/day.

Aging Behind Bars = Multiplying Costs

Adults 55+ are a growing share of Oklahoma's prison population.

Older adults in custody typically cost 2–3× more due to health care and accommodations.

LWOP front-loads decades where costs rise but risk declines with age.

Public Safety: What the Research Says

Deterrence hinges more on certainty and swiftness than on severity/length of sentences.

Extreme sentence length has limited marginal deterrent effect at the community level.

Inside facilities, stable incentives (education, work, treatment) improve order more than hopelessness.



Source: NIJ 'Five Things About Deterrence'; Nagin (2013) review; Vera Institute synthesis (2023).

Behavior Inside the Walls

Concern: 'nothing to lose' under LWOP. Evidence is mixed across systems.

Misconduct tends to decline with age; clear, earned incentives reduce incidents.

Parole eligibility & program-linked rewards support safer day-to-day operations.



What Other States Are Doing: 'Second Look'

Judicial sentence review after a substantial period if rehabilitation is demonstrated.

Common thresholds: 15–20 years; earlier eligibility if offense < age 25.

Review \neq automatic release; courts weigh risk, rehabilitation, and victim input.



Examples to Model

Washington, DC: Review after 15 years for offenses before age 25 (D.C. Code §24-403.03).

New Jersey: Judicial review after ~20 years for youth via state constitutional ruling.

Delaware: Petitions after 20–30 years (youth), offense-dependent; court weighs growth & risk.



Oklahoma Momentum to Build On

2024 Survivors' Act: case-by-case resentencing for DV survivors—a targeted review already in law.

Second Look extends this logic to LWOP after meaningful time served with earned achievements.



A Pragmatic Oklahoma Second Look (Adults)

Eligibility: petition for judicial review after 20 years served on LWOP.

Gateways: educational/vocational milestones, program completion, restorative work, clean conduct trend.

Victim/survivor voice: guaranteed notice and opportunity to be heard.



Emerging Adult Option (<25 at offense)

Earlier eligibility: review after 15 years when offense occurred before age 25.

Court weighs youth, trauma, maturation science, and rehabilitation.

If denied, allow renewal at 5-year intervals.



Fiscal Note (Illustrative)

Each LWOP case that transitions after 20+ years avoids \approx \$24,648 per additional year incarcerated (custody-only).

If 10 people/year qualify, that's \approx \$246,484 avoided annually, compounding as the cohort ages.

Reinvest in victim services, ODOC programming, and reentry supports that further reduce misconduct.



Source: ODOC daily cost benchmark (May 22, 2024).

Public-Safety Safeguards

No automatic release—case-by-case judicial discretion based on validated risk & rehabilitation.

Mandatory reentry plan and supervision conditions where appropriate.

Tie eligibility to pro-social milestones to strengthen institutional order.



Recommended Bill Skeleton (Title 22, New Section)

Create judicial review after 20 years (15 if offense <25).

Factors: rehabilitation, institutional record, age/health, offense context (incl. abuse/trauma), validated risk, victim input.

Relief: modify to term-of-years with parole eligibility or time served; if denied, set next review in 5 years.



Close: Safer • Smarter • Responsible

Safer facilities through incentives and hope.

Smarter fiscal stewardship as the population ages.

Responsible, case-by-case judicial review that keeps victim voice central.



Selected Sources (staff can request full memo)

□ ODOC Board of Corrections Packet (May 22, 2024): daily cost \$67.53; community sentence \$4.44/day. □ ODOC BOC Packet (May 28, 2025): population table (22,858, Apr 30, 2025). □ Robina Institute (2022) Oklahoma report: LWOP ≈3% of population; ~866 in 2015. ☐ The Sentencing Project (2025): 56,245 LWOP nationwide in 2024; second-look summaries. □ NIJ 'Five Things About Deterrence'; Nagin (2013) certainty > severity. ☐ Vera Institute (2023) synthesis on long sentences & public safety. □ D.C. Code §24-403.03 (IRAA/Second Look); NJ & DE youth review examples. ☐ Arnall Family Foundation (2025): aging cohort & costs in Oklahoma.